

Remarks

Oath/Declaration

The reissue oath/declaration filed was considered defective because it failed to identify at least one error which was relied upon to support the reissue application. Specifically, the reissue oath/declaration filed with the application was deemed defective because the applicant did not specifically identify any error that made the patent partly inoperative or invalid. The applicants herewith present a new declaration which clarifies why the new claims have been added. More specifically, the applicants claimed less than they had a right to claim in the original patent. This error, which was without deceptive intent, resulted in the application being defective. Therefore, a reissue application with broader claims was filed.

Applicants' recitation of disclosing information "material to examination" was considered not acceptable pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(b)(3) which states that the person making the declaration state the following: "Acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in § 1.56." The new declaration also corrects this defect and should thus be considered acceptable.

Claim Objections

Claim 52 was objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 52, line 5, "ocated" should be -located-. The applicants have amended the claim to overcome the objection.

Allowable Subject Matter


The applicants gratefully acknowledge that claims 1-65 stand allowed over the art.

Conclusion

The foregoing submission is believed to meet the requirements of the 37 CFR 1.173(b) and 37 CFR 1.121, and the applicants await further action on the application from the Patent and Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP



Jay F. Moldovanyi
Reg. No. 29,678
James E. Scarbrough
Reg. No. 47,056
1100 Superior Avenue
Seventh Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2518
(216) 861-5582